(3) (6)

CF 1002/-

BEFORE THE HON'BLE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH
AT BILASPUR (C.G.)

Writ Petition (S) No. 2454/2007

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA

Petitioner — Ku. Suman Kerketta, (after marriage Smt. Suman Xalxo) aged about 40 years, D/o. Jakariyas Kerketta, Assistant Teacher, Primary School (Tribal Welfare) Kuti Toli Distt. Jashpur (C.G.) (Name appears at sl.no. 39 of appointment order)

P.R. No. 31/5/07 W.R. Khasle 31/5/07

VERSUS

Respondents — 1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through – the Secretary, Department of Tribal, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)

2. The Assistant Commissioner. District Jashpur (C.G.)

OATH COMMISSIONER APARNA MALVIYA CG-2073/96 08/04/10 Bilaspur Chhattisgarh

RECEIVED-COPY 11/4/07 CLERK to A. G. BILASPUR

(31)

HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

W.P.(S) No. 2454 of 2007

Petitioner	:	Ku. Suman Kertetta, (after marriage Smt. Suman Xalxo) aged about 40 years, D/o. Jakariyas Kerketta, Assistant Teacher, Primary School (Tribal Welfare) Kuti Toli Distt. Jashpur (C.G.) (Name appears at sl.no. 39 of appointment order)
	Versus	
Respondents		1. The State of Chhattisgarh, through - the Secretary, Department of Tribal, Dau Kalyan Singh Bhawan, Raipur (C.G.)
		2. The Assistant Commissioner, District Jashpur (C.G.)

Writ Petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India

Single Bench: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Satish K. Agnihotri.

Present: Mr. U.R. Koshaley, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Ajay Dwivedi, Panel Lawyer for State/respondents.

ORDER

(Passed on this 20th Day of April, 2007)

1. The question of law involved herein is asto whether the petitioner is entitled to regular pay scale w.e.f. the date of initial appointment or from the date of completion of probation period.

2. Learned counsel appearing for the petitioner as well as for the State/respondents jointly submit that the facts and question of law involved in the present writ petition are the same as to that of in W. P. (S) No. 5976/2006 (Sushil Tiwari and others vs. State Government of Chhattisgarh & others), which was allowed vide order dated 7.11.2006.

3. In view of the above-stated submissions made by learned counsel for the parties, the writ petition is allowed in the same terms. No order as to costs.

Sd/-
Satish K.Agnihotri
Judge

Subhash